



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,055	10/12/2001	Kazuhiro Murakami	06753.0472	1009
7590	12/11/2003			
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315			EXAMINER NGUYEN, CHAU N	
			ART UNIT 2831	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/975,055	MURAKAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner Chau N Nguyen	Art Unit 2831	
<p>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</p> <p><b>Period for Reply</b></p> <p>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>			
<p><b>Status</b></p> <p>1)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u>.</p> <p>2a)<input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL.      2b)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.</p> <p>3)<input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</p>			
<p><b>Disposition of Claims</b></p> <p>4)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.</p> <p>4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.</p> <p>5)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.</p> <p>6)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.</p> <p>7)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.</p> <p>8)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</p>			
<p>9)<input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</p> <p>10)<input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)<input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b)<input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner.      Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).      Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</p> <p>11)<input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</p>			
<p><b>Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120</b></p> <p>12)<input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).      a)<input type="checkbox"/> All b)<input type="checkbox"/> Some * c)<input type="checkbox"/> None of:      1.<input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      2.<input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.      3.<input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</p> <p>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</p> <p>13)<input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.      a)<input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</p> <p>14)<input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</p>			
<p><b>Attachment(s)</b></p> <p>1)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)      4)<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .</p> <p>2)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)      5)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</p> <p>3)<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.      6)<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .</p>			

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tournier et al. (5,408,743).

Tournier et al. discloses (Figs 1 and 2) a structure for mounting a terminal to a covered electric wire, comprising a terminal (10) comprising a cylindrical wire end receiving portion and a cylindrical connection portion for connecting to other equipment, and a covered electric wire (12) from which a leading end of a covering is removed to expose a leading end of an electric conductor, the wire end receiving portion receiving the leading end of the exposed electric conductor of the covered electric wire and a part of the covering, and the wire end receiving portion being uniformly compressed around substantially the entire periphery thereof to be in close contact with the exposed electric conductor (re claim 1). Tournier et al. also discloses the outer shape of the wire end receiving portion having a cylindrical

shape, and the wire end receiving portion being compressed around the outer portion (re claim 3). Claim 5 is the method counterpart of claim 1. Noted that the feature of the wire end receiving portion being further extended in an axial direction due to plastic deformation is inherent from the structure of Tournier et al. In other words, because the receiving portion is compressed radially, its axial length would be slightly extended (re claim 7).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tournier et al.

Claim 6 in addition to the limitations of claim 5 recites a swaging machine being used to generate the compression. Although not specifically disclosed by Tournier et al., it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a swaging machine to generate the compression in the structure of Tournier et al. since using a swaging machine to make electrical contact between a terminal and a wire by compression is well-known in the art.

6. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tournier et al. in view of Brumbach et al. (3,042,737).

Tournier et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the inner surface of the wire receiving end portion being provided with a plurality of projections (re claim 2). Brumbach et al. discloses a terminal (Fig. 5) comprising a wire receiving end portion whose inner surface is provided with a plurality of projections. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include the projections as taught by Brumbach et al. in the inner surface of the Tournier et al.

wire receiving end portion for gripping engagement with the covered wire. Re claim 4, the feature of the wire end receiving portion being further extended in an axial direction due to plastic deformation is inherent from the structure of Tournier et al. In other words, because the receiving portion is compressed radially, its axial length would be slightly extended.

***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Communication***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N Nguyen whose telephone number is 308-0693. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308 3682. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305 3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



Chau N Nguyen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2831